

United States Patent and Trademark.Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAME	INVENTOR	. A	TTORNEY BOCKETNO.
	09/269,7		/99	SAKAI		Т	1/F3511PTUS
Г				1 16.4.******	·	EXAMINER	
	WENDEROT	WENDEROTH LIND & PONACK		HM22/0525		WANG.5	
	2033 K S					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	SUITE 80 WASHINGT	0 ON DC 2000	6			1617	16
							05/25/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/269,711	SAKAI ET AL.						
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Shengjun Wang	1617						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 07 May 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a sinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for								
reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR of whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory perimailing date of the final rejection.	ontinues to run from the mailing date of the od for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS	e final rejection, from the						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dather that the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ision and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in the onths after the mailing date of the final reject	ree. The appropriate e he final Office action; o ction, even if timely file	or (2) as set forth in					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CF)	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon with requisite fees.	n the timely submission of a Notic	ce of Appeal and	Appeal Brief					
3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:							
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below) ;					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or	simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected cla	ims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.								
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):							
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a so	eparate, timely fil	ed amendment					
6. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _	or reconsideration has been cons	idered but does N	NOT place the					
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which w	were newly					
8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s)	is as follows (see attached writte	en explanation, if	any):					
Claim(s) allowed: None.								
Claim(s) objected to: None								
Claim(s) rejected: 22-40								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.								
9. The proposed drawing correction filed on	a)∏has b)∏ has not been app	roved by the Exa	miner.					
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u>14</u> .						
11. Other:	i L	RUSSELL TRAVER PRIMARY EXAMINE OROUP 1200	S PR					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_						

Application NO.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments raise new issue that would require further consideration and search. Particularly, the method claims for inducing apoptosis need further consideration and new search. Therefore the proposed amendments do not materially reduce or simplify the issue for appeal.